Application No. Applicant(s) 10/597 748 VAN DER POEL LUCAS Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary LEO DESIREE Fyaminer Art Unit David J. Makiya 2885 All Participants: Status of Application: _____ (1) David J. Makiva. (3) _____. (4) (2) Mark Beloborodov (Reg. No. 50,773). Date of Interview: 29 April 2009 Time: Type of Interview: Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) ⊠ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: 1 3-5 16 22 25 27 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED. See Continuation Sheet Part III. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)

Application No. 10/597,748

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative, Mr.Mark Beloborodov authorized the examiner's amendment to amend the above mentioned claims such that claim language regarding the rotational axis and rotatable protruding elements have been incorporated from claims 3 and 4 into independent claims 1 and 16. Claim language regarding the rotatable elements bearing LEDs have been incorporated from claim 25 into independent claim 22. Subsequently, claims 4 and 25 have been canceled. Claims 5 and 27 have been amended to correct improper antecedent basis. Further details are included in the Examiner's Amendment/Comment.